

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office
FLOOR DEBATE

January 9, 2001

the reasons may be at that time, choose not to proceed in an orderly manner with the congressional districts or the legislative districts, which are those reorganizations or redistricting that we do that attract the most attention and probably are the most important, both within and out of the body, for all of the people.

SENATOR DIERKS: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: So this is...this is not one of the greater decisions you're going to make this year. I would think, though, that we would want to leave for ourselves the opportunity to address issues in the case of situations that are not foreseeable to us at this point in time. Thank you, Mr. President.

SENATOR DIERKS: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. Senator Brashear, please.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, I want to make certain, if I may, that I'm understanding here. I thought I heard a compromise in progress and if Senator Chambers would yield to some inquiry, please.

SENATOR DIERKS: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Senator Chambers, your original proposal, as I understood it, would have restricted to five the number of super priority bills, and the present pending amendment would still leave it restricted to five. Is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's correct.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Your objection to the prior proposal and to the rule as it's now been adopted is that all of the redistricting bills are additional and, therefore, there is no cap. Is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's correct.